

**2<sup>nd</sup> February 2021 – Meeting Tracker - UPDATE**

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION Who
<b>1.</b>	<b>Attendees, Apologies &amp; Introductions</b>				
	<b>Present</b>	Cllr Ross Henning (Chair), Cllr Ashley O'Neil, Cllr Bill Douglas, Cllr Howard Greenman (WC), Angela Williams (Biddestone PC), Lesley Palmer (Grittleton PC), John Scragg (Chippenham TC) Martin Rose, Kirsty Rose (WC)			
	<b>Apologies</b>				
	<b>Observers</b>	David Kerr, Genevieve Minney (Nettleton PC) Kathryn MacDermid (Member of public)			
<b>2.</b>	<b>Notes of the last meeting (17<sup>th</sup> November 2020)</b>				
		The minutes and recommendations of the previous meeting held on the 17 <sup>th</sup> November 2020 were to be considered at the Chippenham Area Board scheduled for the 16 <sup>th</sup> December 2020. It was noted that next CATG meeting was to be 2 <sup>nd</sup> February 2021, not 16 <sup>th</sup> December 2020 as stated.			

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<b>Please Note:</b> All priority 1 CATG issues requiring design / investigation were suspended on the 1st March and recommenced on the 1st September 2020			
<b>3.</b>	<b>Finance</b>				
		<p><u>Financial position at 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2021</u></p> <p>(a) 2020-21 allocation = £18,087.00  (b) 2019-20 underspend = £23,837.26*  (c) 2020-21 3<sup>rd</sup> party Contributions = £58,487.57  (d) Total Budget for 2020-21 = £100,411.83 (a+b+c)  (e) Existing commitments (incl. carry over schemes from 2019/20) = £85,249.84*</p> <p>(f) Current Balance = <b>£15,161.99</b> (d-e)</p> <p>*Carry over from 2019/20 includes 6 schemes rolled forward to 2020/21 totalling £23,119.15</p>			
<b>4.</b>	<b>Annual Dropped Kerbs Exercise</b>				
		<p>No dropped kerb sites have been identified for 2020/21 to date. MR has sent email requesting identification of potential sites to Chippenham Town Council.</p> <p><b>01/09/10.</b> Sites submitted for inclusion to be collated, costed and discussed at next meeting. Note: Town Council contribution of 40% agreed.</p> <p><b>17/11/20.</b> 2 no sites Conway Way / Queens Crescent, Conway Road / Arundel Close. Both sites require additional footway works. Estimate of £4,000</p>	<p><b>02/02/2021</b>  The funding contribution and reduction in verge area has been agreed by Chippenham TC. Works pack to be prepared and issued.</p>		

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a> <b>Agreed</b> – Move to Priority 1 and allocate £4000 subject to acceptance of additional contribution and reduction in verge area by Chippenham TC			
<b>5.</b>	<b>Freight Assessment and Priority Mechanism (FAPM) exercise</b>				
	<b>Standing item for update</b>	<b>25/06/19</b> SD explained that all freight requests are on hold pending publication of new freight strategy as part of LTP in 2020. CATG's can still support requests but must fund investigatory work and implementation costs.	<b>02/02/21</b> No update to report.		
<b>6.</b>	<b>Major Maintenance in 2019/20</b>				
		<b>17/11/20</b> Major maintenance list for 2020/21 was emailed members several months ago.			
<b>7.</b>	<b>Priority One Schemes</b>				
	CATG agreed that once work orders have been placed for Priority One schemes a full entry is not required on Action Tracker: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary will be retained</li> <li>• The entry will be “greyed out” to indicate scheme in progress and no further discussion is required at the CATG meeting unless otherwise indicated.</li> <li>• MR will provide updates</li> <li>• The item will be removed once the scheme has been implemented</li> <li>• A <u>maximum</u> of 5 LIVE priority 1 schemes to be progressed at any one time.</li> </ul>				

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
7.1	<b>6431</b> – HGV traffic ignoring signs & increased traffic through Kington Langley	<p><b>30/04/20</b> Ringway have indicated they are unable to undertake work on the A350 without lane closures. Unfeasible due to high cost. MJR to look again at design options.</p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Opportunity missed for new sign to be erected during lane closure during 1<sup>st</sup> week of May. Option for May 2021 implementation to be discussed with Kington Langley PC.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> On hold pending action in 2021 during A350 lane closures for maintenance. To be greyed out.</p>		1	MR
7.2	<b>5827</b> – Installation of four sets of White Gates and associated improvements, Biddestone	<p><b>01/09/20</b> Design work to recommence early September. Target deadline of 31<sup>st</sup> March 21 for Gateways, signs and resurfacing works. Imprinted concrete will be delayed until 2021/22.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Updated design and costing presented to Biddestone PC. (£47k) Road closures for surfacing works booked 8/9th February 2021.</p> <p>Note: Footway area adjacent to turnpike cottage to be included in 'Texprint' surface areas</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Surfacing works to be rescheduled to April 2021 due to works in the village by Gigaclear conflicting with areas to be surfaced.</p>	1	KR
7.3	<b>6846</b> - Road Safety at The Street B4039 Nettleton Road and Church Hill junction Burton	<p><b>18/02/20</b> Group agreed to promote proposed Nettleton Road warning sign and SLOW marking to Priority 1. Cost £400 PC to contribute 25%</p> <p>20mph limit on hold pending publication of 20mph update report, expected in spring/ summer 2020</p> <p><b>30/04/20</b> Order issued for warning sign and 'SLOW' on Nettleton Road. Awaiting implementation.</p> <p><b>01/09/20</b></p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> No further update.</p>	1.	KR

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p>Sign and SLOW marking complete. 20mph issue on hold pending publication of report. To be greyed out. If 20mph limit does not go ahead the PC have requested the existing 30mph limit be extended.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Publication of 20mph assessment report remains on hold. To be considered further following publication of report.</p>			
7.4	4-20-6 London Road, Chippenham	<p>Bollards on traffic islands are not reflective causing near fatal crashes. Town Council supports this request. In line with the recommendations made by the Traffic Officer, the Town Council requests that the existing bollards be replaced with 6 self-righting bollards at a cost of £1,817.50. The Town Council agrees to pay £454.38 towards the cost (25%)". <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a></p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Members agree to proceed and move to Priority 1. Note increase in cost to £2692.00 due to extra bollard and TM requirement.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Order placed with contractor. Implementation December 20</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Bollard installation is complete. Repainting of existing bollards will be undertaken when weather improves.</p> <p>Item to be removed from list</p>	1.	
7.5	4-20-10 Parliament St / Chippenham	<p>Laurence Cable made a presentation to members and is seeking changes at the existing footway link between Parliament Street and Little Down to create a shared access for cyclists and pedestrians.</p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Members agree to proceed and move to Priority 1. Further investigative work required. No monetary allocation to be made at this stage</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> MR has visited site. Significant work required. Waiting restrictions in little down will be required. NOTE - Topo survey required prior to design work taking place. Estimate £1,500.</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Topo survey ordered. Await completion to allow design work to commence.</p>	1.	

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		Agreed – Allocate £1500 for a topo survey prior to design work taking place			
7.6	4-20-2 Draycott Cerne	<p>PC request a formal review of the speed limit on B4122 from J17 of M4 to the junction with B4069 leading to a reduction from the national speed limit (60mph) to 40mph. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a></p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Members supported this request and felt a review of the current speed limit was justified. However as the changes identified on the B4122 were largely due to development works, funding for any speed limit re-assessment should be found from another source i.e. Section 106 monies. MR to speak to Development Control and report back to group</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Contact made with development control. No provision within Section 106 agreement to permit speed limit assessment work. Funding would be required from the CATG. Current estimate from Atkins £2500</p> <p><b>Agreed</b> – Move to Priority 1 and allocate £2500 for speed limit assessment on B4122. 25% contribution from PC £750.00</p>	<b>02/02/21</b> Speed limit assessment to be ordered when lockdown restrictions end.	1.	KR
7.7	4-20-8 Various sites Chippenham	<p><b>Chippenham Town Council</b> - We would like to fund and produce new 'Welcome to Chippenham' signs at the 6 main entry points to the town. We would like all existing Welcome to Chippenham signs to be removed</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b></p> <p><b>Agreed</b> – Move to Priority 1 Note: Fully funded by Chippenham TC</p>	<b>02/02/21</b> Signing works with Mark Stansby	1.	
8.	<b>Priority Two / Pending Schemes</b>				

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
8.1	5753 - Replace informal crossing points with zebra crossings Queens Crescent, Chippenham	<p><b>25/06/19</b> Issue to remain on hold pending future resurfacing works on Queens Crescent</p> <p><b>18/02/19</b> Cllr O'Neil to work with Queens Crescent school on update travel plan.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> No update to report</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> No update to report.</p>	2	AO
8.2	6144 – Speed reduction to 20mph for High Street in Chippenham	<p><b>16/09/19</b> Standalone 20mph covering High Street in isolation not possible due to length &lt; 300m. MJR attended PET meeting 16/05/19 to discuss 20mph limits. Agreed to place issue on hold pending formation of working group to look at viability of wider 20mph limit exercise in Chippenham. Report back to future meeting.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Publication of 20mph assessment report on hold . Chippenham TC would like some temporary advisory signs for the High street to be erected on Market day. Engineer to agree sign and order.</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> MR to provide sign detail to KR. Sign to be agreed and ordered.</p>	2	KR
8.3	4-19-1 Yatton Keynell	<p><b>25/06/19.</b> Response received from YKPC. They would like the speed limit to be extended but if this isn't possible they would ask the gateway surfacing to go down at the current terminal point. Estimated cost £4000</p> <p><b>16/09/19.</b> Members suggested this issue is considered later once development of the Phillips Bodywork site has taken place. To remain on hold.</p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Issue remains on hold pending possible section 106 monies</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> YKPC are in contact with Debbie Evans at WC with regard to available CIL monies from this development. YKPC to update CATG in due course.</p>	2.	
8.4	4-19-5 Hill Rise / Barrow green	<p><i>Request for 20mph limit and speed humps on Hill Rise / Barrow Green.</i></p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Traffic survey results circulated with note tracker.</p>	2.	KR

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p><b>18/02/19</b> Group appreciated the level of feeling and concern relating to this request. The length covering Hill Rise and Barrow Green is approx. 1km and would require extensive traffic calming which would have a significant impact on road side parking. The cost is also likely to be high. The group felt it was essential that proposals must be evidence led and it was important to garner speed data before deciding what action, if any, to take. Sites for Metro-counts to be agreed.</p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Metro-count sites agreed. Counts remain outstanding due to Covid-19. Counts to restart once schools return. MR to report findings to next meeting.</p>	<p>KR to review options for improvements other than speed humps with the aim of raising awareness of pedestrians.</p> <p>To be moved to priority 1</p>		
8.5	4-20-3 Hardenhuish Avenue Chippenham	<p>Concerns relating to speeding vehicles and rat running traffic. Request for traffic calming to slow down traffic. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a></p> <p><b>01/09/20</b> Metro counts to take place to establish speed and volumetric data on Hardenhuish Avenue / Yewstock Crescent. MR to report results to next meeting.</p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Monitoring sites to be agreed. Note delay to all MC request of approx. 3-6 months due to large backlog across county. SDR(s) may be required due to parked cars</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> No further update. All traffic surveys on hold due to lockdown restrictions.</p>	2.	
8.6	4-20-4 B4039 Hillside Burton	<p><i>"It is well known to residents of Burton as being very dangerous for walkers due to the narrow width combined with the slow bend in the road on Hillside".</i></p> <p>An investigation of options to reduce the speed of traffic and thus make the road safer for pedestrians including a reduction in speed limit and traffic calming measures. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a></p> <p><b>01/09/20</b></p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Engineer to arrange site meeting with David Kerr.</p>	2.	KR



	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		MR to arrange site meeting with David Kerr.			
8.7	4-20-7 Bristol Road, Chippenham	<i>Request for formal crossing (Puffin / Zebra) by the entrance to Lidl Store. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a></i>	<b>02/02/21</b>  Issue on hold pending outcome of Tranche 2 cycle scheme		
8.8	4-20-9 A350 Cepen way Chippenham	The A350 has now developed into dangerous elements that need to be addressed for the safety of pedestrians and road users alike. Traffic travels at speeds far greater than is safe to do so. The road layout and construction of safety features are urgently required to prevent as major incident occurring.  Request for Speed cameras, rumble strips, 40mph limit Badgers roundabout through to Bumpers Farm roundabout  <b>17/11/20</b> An initial speed limit review has taken place, however changes in advance of A350 dualling Phases 4 / 5 is not recommended moreover a wholistic approach is taken with regards the length between J17 and Lackham Rdbt once completed.	<b>02/02/21</b>  No further update pending completion of Phase 4/5.  It was agreed to remove this item from list.		
8.9	4-20-11 Fairfoot Close to Gascelyn Close	Request for new cycle link. Including path widening to 3m, realigning to avoid need to move trees or lamp column, and converting to shared use. Appropriate signage and path markings to indicate shared use. Dropped kerb + 'keep clear' markings at Fairfoot Close (to prevent parked cars from blocking entrance/exit to path). Works to smooth out trench at Gascelyn Close end of path. Overall length approx. 45m  <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a> <b>17/11/20</b> Group expressed support for proposal. Shared use will require conversion under the Cycle tracks Act 1984. Objections would	<b>02/02/21</b>  Engineer to examine issue further in relation to LTN1/20 and report back to group	<b>2</b>	<b>KR</b>

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		require Secretary of state approval and possible public enquiry. Engineer to examine issue further and report back to group			
8.10	4-20-12 Baydons Lane to Long Close	Request for widening of footpath between Baydons Lane and Long close to create traffic-free cycle route between the town centre, eastern suburbs (north of London Road) and Abbeyfield School. Overall length approx. 210m. <a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps/">https://www.google.co.uk/maps/</a> <b>17/11/20</b> Engineer to arrange site meeting to look in more detail before next meeting.	<b>02/02/21</b> Site meeting to be arranged in due course and request reviewed in relation to LTN1/20	2.	KR
8.11	4-20-13. Various locations Chippenham	Chicanes in shared-use paths create obstacles for cyclists and completely exclude certain users. New Government guidelines in Cycle Infrastructure Design (LTN 1/20) strongly advocate against the use of chicane barriers. Request for removal at the following sites: 1.On path linking Methuen Park to Pheasant roundabout (to the side of MRG garage) 2.Monks Way: barrier at end of shared-use path leading to Pewsham Park 3.Path linking Evans Close to Langley Road 4.Drake Crescent, where shared-use path crosses road (2x chicanes) 5.Path linking Hardenhuish Lane to Old Hardenhuish Lane (2x chicanes) 6.Bristol Road, south of football club car park 7.Easton Lane at Haystack Avenue (2x chicanes newly installed by Hunters Moon developers) <b>17/11/20</b> Engineer to arrange meeting to look in more detail at sites before next meeting.	<b>02/02/21</b> Site meeting to be arranged in due course	2.	
8.12	4-20-14 Lowden Hill, Chippenham	<i>"The traffic speed coming up Lowden Hill from Sheldon Road end is too fast. The give way markings (installed 7/8 years ago) are not properly observed and many drivers seem unaware that traffic potentially joins from both sides and that the road</i>	<b>02/02/21</b> Await outcome of Tranche 2 EATF		

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p><i>changes to two-way from this point. They are unprepared for merging or on-coming traffic nor for any obstacle in the road as they come over the brow of the hill (tragically a man was fatally run over here in 2018). Vehicles are regularly observed coming from the A4 end to take their chance going the wrong way up the one-way section of the road”.</i></p> <p><i>“The give way road markings have badly worn and need re-doing to highlight the junction. I would also suggest that if bollards with a reflective panel were placed either side of the road, it would increase the likelihood of traffic slowing down at this point. Painting ‘one-way’ on the road at the point the vehicles pull in (just after Turnpike Cottage) might discourage All in all these measures would make it a safer junction”.</i></p> <p><a href="https://www.google.co.uk/maps">https://www.google.co.uk/maps</a></p> <p><b>17/11/20</b> Lowden Hill forms part of the EATF Tranche 2 bid to the DfT which would see the length in question closed to through traffic. Suggest waiting for outcome of Tranche 2 bid before agreeing any further action.</p>			
8.13	4-20-15 C151 Ford	<p>C151 in village of Ford, from junction with A420 to limit of village, particularly from White Hart Inn to the gateway by the River bridge and access to Bybrook Valley on the Macmillan Way, opposite the entrance to the property - Doncombe Mill.</p> <p>Request for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demarcation of a pathway, the suggestion is for a different colour tarmac/paint to be used to highlight the ideal place for walkers to walk and as a visual identifier to vehicles that there may be pedestrians</li> <li>2. Warning triangle signs – warning of pedestrians</li> <li>3. More speed signs to make drivers fully aware of the speed limit, current signs while spaced correctly are not ideally placed for bends and visibility.</li> </ol>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> KR and MR to discuss outcome of site meeting and proposals. Plans to be prepared for parish council consideration when scheme becomes priority 1.</p>	2	

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p>4. Possible introduction of a 20mph Increased policing of speed by Wiltshire constabulary <b>17/11/20</b>.</p> <p>Speed survey has been requested. Engineer to look at site with Parish council once Covid-19 restrictions are lifted to discuss options before agreeing way forward. On-carriageway flow chart to be provided to members.</p>			
<b>9</b>	<b>New Requests submitted since the last meeting</b>				
<b>9.1</b>	<b>4-20-16</b> St Pauls Primary School, Chippenham	<p><i>Request for 4no direction signs for school. Traffic Engineer advises that signage for St Paul's School could be added to the existing posts for The Rise Children's Centre (two signs back to back in the four locations shown on the attached map) and has estimated the cost will be approx. £400. The Town Council supported this request at a PET Committee meeting on 19 November 2020 and agreed to pay £100 towards the cost.</i></p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> CATG agreed support for scheme and funding of £300.</p> <p>To be moved to priority 1</p>	<b>1</b>	<b>KR</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>4-21-1</b> Shared Use Path – Island Park	<p><b>Issue</b> <i>The paths in Island Park are designated shared-use pedestrian and cycle paths, and are extremely popular with pedestrians, cyclists and other users, such as those using mobility aids. For cyclists, the route is a strategic part of the town cycle network, as well as being part of the Sustrans National Cycle Network route 403.</i></p> <p><i>Issue 1: Path widths</i> <i>The high flows of pedestrians, cyclists and other users on these paths mean that all users would benefit from the current paths being widened.</i></p> <p><i>Issue 2: Lack of awareness of cycle route and journey opportunities among cyclists</i> Locally, the route through Island Park forms an important bypass for the High Street, where cycling is not permitted between 09:30 and 19:30. However, few cyclists are aware of the route, which leads to people cycling along the High Street. There is also a lack of</p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b> Chippenham Cycling Development Group to be invited to provide CATG with additional information regarding overall cycle strategy and aims in order to allow a greater overview and insight into these individual schemes. This may be in the form of an additional CATG meeting.</p> <p>This scheme request is to be on hold until the above information sharing has taken place.</p>	<b>2</b>	<b>RH</b>

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p><i>awareness of other route opportunities that the path through the park provides.</i></p> <p><b>Request:</b>  <i>Widen all paths in Island Park to at least 3.0m, in line with the Government’s new Cycle Infrastructure Design Guidance LTN 1/20, section 6.5.7.</i>  <i>Install wayfinding signage at both ends of the High Street, as well as key points in park, to make cyclists aware of the Island Park path as a ‘High Street bypass’ route, and to provide information about other destinations that can be reached via this path.</i></p> <p><b>TC Comments</b>  <i>The Town Council considered this request at a meeting of its Planning, Environment &amp; Transport (PET) Committee held on 7 January 2021. The Town Council supports this request in principle. However, this ‘in principal’ acceptance is made subject to a formal recommendation being made by Wiltshire Council and a fully costed report being submitted and is subject to further debate and decision at a future PET Committee meeting.</i></p>			
9.3	4-21-2 Park Farm bends, C86	<p><b>Issue:</b>  <i>The issue concerns the lack of suitable warning signs/systems at the double bends, Park Farm, SN14 7LA. My daughter was a passenger in a serious traffic incident recently at the location. The signage is totally inadequate to warn of the severity of the bends in the road, with some of the road-painted signs worn away. The 3 people involved in the recent accident walked away, but there will be a fatality if nothing is done. I have since talked to a resident at the location who helped to extract the occupants from the vehicle at the time. He informs me there have been 5 major incidents in the last 12 months (this was a quiet year due to Covid-19 - in previous years the number was higher). I trust the Emergency Services statistics would confirm this.</i></p> <p><b>Request</b></p>	<p><b>02/02/21</b>  CATG agreed to support this request. GPC and YKPC agreed to joint fund 30% contribution. KR to prepare plan and estimate.</p> <p>To be moved to priority 1.</p>	1.	KR

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
		<p><i>I would like to see installation of an effective signage and warning system at the location. It must be effective in reducing vehicle speed and hence the occurrence of incidents. This could take the form of chevrons, painted rumble-strips, speed-activated illuminated signs or other means.</i></p> <p><b>PC Comments</b>  <i>The Parish Boundary Yatton Keynell – Grittleton bisects the double bend, Yatton Keynell Parish Council discussed 7th December 2020 &amp; Grittleton Parish Council 18th January 2021. It's agreed to share the Parish Council contribution between the two Parish Councils. GPC request that any proposal is discussed with the property owner at the site. PC's would be agreeable to M Rose's proposal of adding "Reduced Speed Now" signs to the double bend signs and to repaint the "SLOW" in both direction along with addition of yellow bars.</i></p>			
<b>10</b>	<b>AOB –</b>				
<b>10.1</b>					
	<b>Agreement of Priority One issues</b>				
<b>11.</b>	<p><b>Agreement of Priority 1 schemes (Max 5 to be progressed at any one time)</b> Note: Issue which are 'Greyed out' indicate schemes where orders have been issued / about to be issued but await implementation.</p> <p><b>Any issues highlighted in Yellow are awaiting agreement from the Area board</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 6431 – Weight Limit signs - Kington Langley. Cost £1500. (CATG £1125, PC £375)</li> <li>2. 5827 – Village Gateways, Biddestone. Cost to be fully funded by Biddestone Parish Council. Design time only required.</li> <li>3. 4-20-10 Parliament Street – New Shared use Cycle / pedestrian link Topo Survey (CATG £1125.00, TC £375.00)</li> <li>4. 4-20-8 Various sites Chippenham – Welcome to Chippenham Signs (Fully funded by Chippenham TC)</li> </ol>				

	Item	Update from previous meeting	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	ACTION  Who
	5.	4-20-16 St Pauls Primary School Signing (CATG £300, TC £100)			
	6.	4-21-2 Park Farm Bends, C86 – costs to be determined.			
	7.	4-19-5 Hill Rise/Barrow Green, Chippenham – design time only at this stage			
12.	Date of Next Meeting - TBC				

## Highways Officer – Kirsty Rose

### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

- 1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

### 2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Chippenham Area Board.  
2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Chippenham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£14,861.99**

### 3. Legal Implications

- 3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

### 4. HR Implications

- 4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

- 5.1. The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

### 6. Safeguarding implications – none

# APPENDIX 1

Chippenham CATG		As at 21st December 2020
<b>Budget 2020-21</b>		
<b>A</b>	£18,087.00	CATG Allocation 2020-21
<b>B</b>	£23,837.26	2019 -20 underspend
<b>3rd Party Contributions</b>		
	£1,000.00	Upper Wraxall PC (£1000 20/21)
	£375.00	Kington Langley Weight limit signs (£375 20/21)
	£504.00	Kington Langley PC (100%) Days Lane Equestrian signs (£630 20/21)
	£87.50	Fosse way Nettleton PC (£87.50 20/21)
	£4,511.65	Biddestone PC - Turnpike Cottage (£4511.65 20/21)
	£1,000.00	Castle combe PC 20mph limit (£1000 20/21)
	£47,000.00	Biddestone Gateways & Texprint surface
	£83.21	Nettleton Road Burton PC
	£25.00	Chipp TC - Malmesbury Road
	£421.44	Hullavington PC Virtual footway
	£673.00	Chipp TC - London Road
	£41.52	Chipp TC - Frogwell
	£415.25	Castle Combe extra roundels
	£1,600.00	Chipp town council dropped kerbs (40%) TBC
	£750.00	Sutton Benger - Draycott Cerne SL assessment (30%)
	£0.00	Chippenham TC gateway signs
<b>Total Budget 20/21 C</b>	<b>£100,411.83 (A+ B)</b>	
<b>Scheme Commitments from 2019-20</b>		
	£4,000.00	20mph limit Upper Wraxall To be combined with Castle Combe works
	£1,500.00	Weight Limit Signs Days Lane Kington Langley £375 contribution to be confirmed. Slip to 2021 for A350 lane closure
	£504.00	Equestrian Signs Days Lane Kington Langley Full 100% recharge to PC
	£350.00	Fosse Way - road markings To be carried out under ad-hoc order
	£12,067.94	Footway works - Turnpike Cottage Biddestone final (add cost due to extra drainage + contaminated material)
	£4,811.16	Castle Combe 20mph Limit To be combined with Upper Wraxall works
	£370.80	Sadlers Mead Road Safety Posters Complete. Fully funded by CATG
<b>New Schemes 2020/21</b>		
	£47,000.00	Biddestone Gateways & texprint surface Full cost (£47k) to be covered by PC. Includes Area by Turnpike cotta
	£332.85	Nettleton Road, Burton. Warning Sign / SLOW marking SLOW to be included on Ad-hoc order
	£100.00	Malmesbury Road, Chippenham. Ped warning sign and SLOW SLOW to be included on Ad-hoc order
	£750.00	Ad-hoc road markings Nettleton road, Fosseway, Malmesbury Road, Biddestone, C Combe
	£1,685.77	Virtual footway / dropped kerb High Street Hullavington Lining under ad-hoc order
	£504.00	Equestrian Signs Days Lane Kington Langley Full recharge to PC
	£415.25	Extra Roundels x 4 Castle Combe Full recharge to PC
	£2,692.00	Bollard replacement London Road 5 no. keep left / 5 no. keep right bollards
	£166.07	Frogwell Primary School Flag Signs
	£1,500.00	Parliament Street Cycle / Pedestrian link Est Topo survey cost
	£4,000.00	Phase 6 - Dropped kerb sites TBC (Conway way / Queens Cres) (Conway Rd / Arundel Cl)
	£2,500.00	B4122 Draycott Cerne - Speed Limit review
	£0.00	Welcome to Chippenham Gateway signs To be funded in full by Chippenham TC (Mark Stansby)
<b>Current Commitment - D</b>	<b>£85,249.84</b>	
<b>Remaining Budget E</b>	<b>£15,161.99 (C-D)</b>	
<b>Completed schemes</b>		